

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL TERPIN,

Plaintiff,

V.

AT&T MOBILITY LLC; and DOES 1-25,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-06975-ODW(KS)

**ORDER RE STIPULATION
REGARDING DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSIVE PLEADING**

Action Filed: August 15, 2018

**ORDER RE STIPULATION REGARDING DEFENDANT'S
RESPONSIVE PLEADING**

The Court, having read and considered the Stipulation, filed on February 28, 2020 and entered into between Plaintiff Michael Terpin (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant AT&T Mobility, LLC (“Defendant”), to adjourn Defendant’s deadline to Answer the operative Amended Complaint in light of Mr. Terpin’s intention to file a Second Amended Complaint, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. In light of Plaintiff's intention to file a Second Amended Complaint, Defendant need not answer the portions of the currently operative Amended Complaint that survive under this Court's February 24, 2020 Order;

2. Plaintiff will file a Second Amended Complaint pursuant to the Court's February 24, 2020 Order;

3. Defendant will file a responsive pleading directed at the Second Amended Complaint pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12 and 15, once the Second Amended Complaint is filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 2, 2020

**The Honorable Otis D. Wright II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**